UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,831	06/26/2006	Hajime Matsumoto	2008_0999	1087
	7590 08/27/201 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East			NGUYEN, KHANH TUAN	
Washington, DO	C 20005-1503		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/596,831	MATSUMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KHANH T. NGUYEN	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ly 2010.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1 and 7-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 and 7-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/04/2010.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/596,831 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Final

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of species "o" (Py101.1 [ $C_2F_5BF_3$ ]) in the reply filed on 07/23/2010 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Response to Amendment

- 2. The amendment filed on 06/07/2010 is entered and acknowledged by the Examiner. Claims 1 and 7-9 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 2-6 have been cancelled.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by "A New Class of Hydrophobic Ionic Liquids:

  Trialkyl(2-methoxyethyl)ammonium Perfluoroethyl trifluoroborate"

  (Zhou) is withdrawn in view of the above amendment.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-063934 (hereafter refer to as Takeda) in view of either U.S. Pat. 4,537,843 (Shishikura) or U.S. Pub. 2006/0092597 AI (Pub '597) is withdrawn in view of the above amendment.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,831 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

#### Information Disclosure Statement

5. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 03/04/2010 has been considered. An initialed copy accompanies this Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <a href="either">either</a> U.S Pub. 2003/0202316 A1 (hereinafter Kawasato), EP 1380569 A1 (hereinafter Sato), or EP 1548866 A1 (hereinafter Maruo) in view of <a href="either">either</a> JP 2002-063934 (hereinafter Takeda) or "Low-melting, Low-viscous, Hydrophobic Ionic Liquids: N-Alkyl(alkyl ether)-N-methylpyrrolidinium Perfluroethyltrifluoroborate" (hereinafter Zhou).

(Please note the Takeda reference was previously cited in the PTO-892 on 12/07/2009. The Zhou reference was previously cited in the PTO-1449 on 09/01/2006.)

Kawasato discloses an electrolytic solution (i.e. ionic liquid) capable of forming an electric double layer (See Abstract; [0001]). In one embodiment (See [0032]; Formula 6), Kawasato discloses the electrolytic solution comprising of

Application/Control Number: 10/596,831

Art Unit: 1796

Sato discloses an electric storage device, electrical double layer capacitors, and secondary batteries having excellent low-temperature properties obtained by using a quaternary salt (or ionic liquid) comprising of

(See Abstract; [0001]; and Formula (5) at [0038]).

Maruo discloses a nonaqueous electrolyte that contains an ionic liquid having excellent low-temperature properties comprising

(See Abstract; [0010]; and Formula (5) at [0023]).

The above prior arts differ from the instant applicant in that the prior arts did not suggest the claimed  $C_2F_5BF_3$  anion.

 $\underline{\text{Takeda}}$  discloses a nonaqueous electrolytic solution useful in an electrical double layer capacitor comprising of

Art Unit: 1796

# $X^{+}[(Rf)_{n}BF_{4-n}]^{-}$

in order to decrease the moisture content of the nonaqueous electrolyte that causes metal part of an electrochemical element corrode or make the nonaqueous electrolyte disassemble (See Abstract; [0001]; and [0003] to [0006]). Takeda discloses X<sup>+</sup> is a cation including quaternary ammonium; Rf is a perfluoroalkyl group; and n is an integer from 1 to 4 (See [0005] and [0006]).

Zhou discloses a hydrophobic ionic liquid comprising of a quaternary ammonium cation and a fluoroanion represented by a formula  $[R_fBF_3]^-$  wherein  $R_f = n - C_mF_{2n+1}$  and m = 1-4 (See page 1636, Left Column). Zhou discloses that the  $[C_2F_5BF_3]^-$  based anion shows a lower melting point than the  $[BF_3]^-$  based anion and provides high ionic conductivities (See Abstract).

It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrolytic solution (ionic liquid) of either Kawasato, Sato, or Maruo by substituting the trifluoroborate  $[BF_3]^-$  anion with either the  $[(R_f)_nBF_{4-n}]^-$  cation of Takeda or  $[R_fBF_3]^-$  cation of Zhou. A person having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to substituting the trifluoroborate  $[BF_3]^-$  anion with either the  $[(R_f)_nBF_{4-n}]^-$  cation or  $[R_fBF_3]^-$  cation in order to reduce the moisture content of the nonaqueous electrolyte that

Art Unit: 1796

causes metal part of an electrochemical element corrode or make the nonaqueous electrolyte disassemble as suggested by Takeda (See [0004]) and lower the melting point of the electrolytic solution and provides high ionic conductivities to the electrolytic solution as suggested by Zhou (See Abstract).

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims above have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated

Application/Control Number: 10/596,831 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHANH T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-8082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00-6:00 EST PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,831

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Page 8

/K. T. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 August 19, 2010